

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATESIDEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONERS OR PATENTS P.O. BOR1450 Alexandria Yugana 22313-1450

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/759,233	01/20/2004	Yoshiumi Hamada	46238	6456
1609 7	590 11/03/2005		EXAMINER	
	, ABRAMS, BERDO &	BRUENJES, CHRISTOPHER P		
1300 19TH STREET, N.W. SUITE 600 WASHINGTON,, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/759,233	HAMADA, YOSHIUMI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher P. Bruenjes	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTED TO THE	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20.	January 2004.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application	n.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n pnority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received.					
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen		tion No.				
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the price						
application from the International Burea	<del>-</del>					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	(				

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-12 and 22-25, drawn to article, classified in class 428, subclass 34.1.
  - II. Claims 13-21, drawn to method of using article, classified in class 427, subclass 421.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP \$ 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as painting the surface of a wall.

2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by

Art Unit: 1772

their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. A telephone call was made to Garrett Davis on September 29, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Bruenjes whose telephone number is 571-272-1489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,233

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher P Bruenjes Examiner

Art Unit 1772

CPB

October 31, 2005

HAROLD PYON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER /

Page 4